The objective of this project was to develop two aesthetically pleasing and crashworthy bridge rails for use by TxDOT. Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) and TxDOT worked cooperatively to conceptualize several aesthetically pleasing rail designs. Researchers performed full-scale crash tests in accordance with National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350.

A new aesthetically pleasing bridge rail, the Texas F411 successfully met the evaluation criteria in NCHRP Report 350 for Test 3-11 and is ready for implementation.

The second aesthetic bridge rail developed, the Texas T77, successfully met the evaluation criteria in NCHRP Report 350 for Test 3-10. However, Test 3-11 did not meet the evaluation criteria due to the vehicle snagging at the rail splice joint and thus causing excessive occupant compartment deformation. Additional crash testing on a splice and/or rail modification will be necessary prior to implementing the Texas T77.
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CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

PROBLEM

Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) frequently receives requests from districts and the public to provide aesthetically pleasing traffic rails for use on select bridges and roadways. Such rails are normally installed along designated scenic or historic routes and various types of urban facilities. The Texas T411 is an example of an aesthetic rail that has been very successful and has seen widespread implementation at both the state and national level. Although aesthetic rails are generally more expensive to construct, their cost is only a fraction of the total cost of a bridge. Typically, aesthetic rails such as the Texas T411 are ornate and have an open architecture that may compromise their crashworthiness. If not properly designed, vertical and horizontal openings in these barriers provide the opportunity for vehicle snagging, which can produce undesirable decelerations or occupant compartment intrusion. Historically, traffic barriers have been designed for high-speed facilities applications (i.e., >60 mph) following National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 Test Level 3 (TL-3) impact conditions (1). However, many locations in which an aesthetic rail is desired have travel speeds of 45 mph or less. The flexibility in geometric design is dictated by the desired design impact conditions. Potentially more crashworthy traffic rail design options may be available for low-speed designs (e.g., Test Level 2 impact conditions) than for high-speed designs (TL-3).

BACKGROUND

The environmental impacts of a roadway are often the dominant characteristics perceived by the community living in its immediate vicinity. Often when community members living near a new highway structure are asked to rank the most important characteristics of the facility, they respond with “noise, fumes, and appearance” as the top three characteristics.

In 1997, Acting Federal Highway Administrator Jane F. Garvey noted that Congress made a strong national commitment to safety and mobility at the same time it made a commitment to preserve and protect the environmental and cultural values affected by transportation facilities. Ms. Garvey stated, “The challenge to the highway design community is to find design solutions, as well as operational options, that result in full consideration of these sometimes conflicting objectives. Design can and must play a major role in enhancing the quality of our journeys and [those] of the communities.” Today many efforts are underway to preserve historic roads and make new aesthetically pleasing highway environments. The National Task Force for Historic Roads (NTFHR) is housed within the Rural Heritage Program at the National Trust for Historic Preservation in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the NTFHR is to promote the recognition of historic roads—aesthetic, engineered, and cultural—as well as routes of significant historic interest in the U.S. In addition, the NTFHR advocates the protection of their integrity of design, purpose, and use in a manner that is both historically appropriate and responsive to modern safety needs. To date, much of the prior literature related to the aesthetic considerations of roadside hardware has been focused on historic preservation. In
1997, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) joined forces with American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and other interest groups to design a companion guide to the Green Book (2) entitled “Flexibility in Highway Design” published in September 1997 (3). The concepts expressed in the guide reflected the mission, goals, and direction of FHWA’s strategic plan. In summary, “Flexibility in Highway Design” came about because of Rodney Slater. Rodney Slater, first as Federal Highway Administrator and later as Secretary of Transportation, has repeatedly stated, “Transportation is more than concrete, steel and asphalt - it is about people.”

As previously stated, TxDOT frequently receives requests to provide aesthetically pleasing traffic rails for use on select bridges and roadways. TxDOT, in response to providing context sensitive design alternatives, initiated this project to develop additional aesthetically pleasing rail alternatives. The Texas T411 is an example of an aesthetic rail that has been very successful and has seen widespread implementation at both the state and national level. Aesthetic rails such as the Texas T411 are ornate, have an open architecture, and are often low in height to permit motorists to see through or over them, all features which may compromise their crashworthiness. For performance along high-speed roadways, designers avoid low-profile rails and rails with large window-type openings. Low-profile rails often do not possess the redirective capabilities necessary to contain and redirect larger automobiles traveling 62 mph (100 km/h). Additionally, openings and small rail set back distances from support posts provide an undesirable geometry and can facilitate “snagging” the vehicle and produce large occupant compartment deformations and high accelerations on the occupants. Historically, traffic barriers have been designed for high-speed facilities applications (i.e., >60 mph) following NCHRP Report 350 TL-3 impact conditions. However, many locations in which an aesthetic rail is desired have travel speeds of 45 mph or less. The flexibility in geometric design is dictated by the desired design impact conditions. More design options are feasible for low-speed designs (e.g., Test Level 2 impact conditions) than for high-speed designs (TL-3).

The FHWA has successfully developed and tested aesthetically pleasing rails and guardwalls to NCHRP Report 350, such as the Steel-Backed Timber Guardrail (4, 5) and the Concrete-Core Stone Masonry Guardwall (6). In the past, the Precast Simulated Stone Guardwall (7), the Glue-Laminated Wood Bridge Rail (8, 9), the Modified Kansas Corral Bridge Railing (10), and the Columbia River Gorge Guardrail (11) are a few of the aesthetic rails that were tested in accordance with NCHRP Report 230 (12).

OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH

The objective of this project was to develop two aesthetically pleasing and crashworthy bridge rails for use by TxDOT. Consideration was given to both high-speed and low-speed designs. Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) and TxDOT worked cooperatively to conceptualize several aesthetically pleasing rail designs. Researchers performed full-scale crash tests in accordance with NCHRP Report 350. They recommended two crash tests for each prototype bridge rail with an option to perform a third test. The first two crash tests were conducted to validate the bridge rail to meet the requirements of TL-3 conditions as defined in
Upon approval by TxDOT, optional tests would be performed as necessary for TL-3 acceptance or for validation of Test Level 4.

The objective of this project was to develop two aesthetically pleasing and crashworthy bridge rails for use by TxDOT. Texas Transportation Institute and TxDOT worked cooperatively to conceptualize several aesthetically pleasing rail designs. Researchers performed full-scale crash tests in accordance with *National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 350*. 

*NCHRP Report 350.*
CHAPTER 2. STUDY APPROACH

TEST FACILITY

The Texas Transportation Institute Proving Ground is a 2000-acre (809-hectare) complex of research and training facilities located 10 mi (16 km) northwest of the main campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly an Air Force base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and safety evaluation of roadside safety hardware. The site selected for placing of the bridge rail is along a wide out-of-service apron. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed concrete pavement in 12.5 ft by 15 ft (3.8 m by 4.6 m) blocks nominally 8-12 inches (203-305 mm) deep. The aprons and runways are about 50 years old, and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.

TEST ARTICLE DESIGN

TTI met with TxDOT personnel to prioritize and define key aesthetic bridge rail features. From these defined features, TTI developed several conceptual aesthetically pleasing bridge rail designs for review by TxDOT personnel. Additionally, TxDOT presented a conceptual design to TTI for review. Ultimately, TxDOT elected to select the Texas T411 bridge rail for design modification to make the rail perform at NCHRP Report 350 Test Level 3. The modified T411 will herein be referred to as the F411. Additionally, TxDOT personnel conceptualized the second rail design chosen for development and crash testing, herein referred to as the T77. The F411 and T77 were the two conceptual traffic rail designs selected for detailed design and crash testing.

TTI performed detailed design calculations to determine the structural requirements for the two bridge rails. These calculations were performed in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications (13). Test Level Four (TL-4) was initially selected for establishing structural design loads. However due to a preference by TxDOT to not bolt through the curb for anchoring the posts, the T77 does not fully meet the TL-4 load requirements and is considered for design purposes TL-3. The F411 does meet TL-4 design load requirements. Appendices A and B present the calculations for the design of each bridge rail. Detailed design drawings were developed and submitted to TxDOT for review. Upon approval by TxDOT, TTI proceeded to fabricate full-scale test installations.

F411 Bridge Rail

The TxDOT F411 was the first prototype concrete aesthetic bridge designed, constructed, and crash tested under this project. Design calculations for the bridge rail are provided in Appendix A. The TxDOT F411 bridge rail is a 10 inch (254 mm) wide by 3 ft-6 inch (1.1 m) high parapet wall with two 6 inch (152 mm) wide concrete rails that project 6 inches (152 mm)
toward the traffic side. Considering the shape and location of the two concrete rails, the cross section of the F411 closely resembles the shape of the letter “F.” The height of the lower rail is 1 ft-6 inches (0.5 m) from the top of the deck. The height of the upper rail is 3 ft-6 inches (1.1 m) from the top of the deck. The total width of the rail at the top is 1 ft-4 inches (0.4 m). In addition, the rail was constructed with square aesthetic openings located between the projecting rails. These openings were 6 inches by 11 inches (279 mm) and were spaced 1 ft-6 inches (0.5 m) apart along the entire length of the 76-ft (23.2 m) long test specimen.

The rail was constructed atop an 8 inch (203 mm) thick by 2 ft-5 inch (0.7 m) wide bridge deck cantilever. Vertical reinforcement in the rail consisted of two #5 enclosed “S” Bars spaced 6 inches (152 mm) apart in the 12 inch by 10 inch (305 mm by 254 mm) posts. These bars were approximately 3 ft-4 inches (1.0 m) long and reinforced the entire height of the rail. In addition to the “S” Bars, #3 “W” bars reinforced the 6 inch by 6 inch (152 mm by 152 mm) projecting rail and these bars were located 6 inches (152 mm) apart along the length of the installation. Longitudinal reinforcement consisted of three #5 bars at each projecting rail location with two #5 bars located with the “S” Bars at the base of the rail. The rail was anchored to the concrete deck cantilever by #5 “U” Bars spaced 9 inches (229 mm) apart which projected upward approximately 8 inches (203 mm) from the top of the deck cantilever into the base of the rail. Transverse reinforcement in the deck cantilever consisted of #5 bars spaced 6 inches (152 mm) apart in the top and bottom layers. Longitudinal reinforcement in the bottom layer of the deck cantilever consisted of two #5 bars spaced 3 inches (76 mm) apart near the field side edge with a third adjacent bar spaced 12 inches (305 mm) away. Longitudinal reinforcement in the top layer of the deck cantilever consisted of #4 bars spaced 9 inches (229 mm) apart. All reinforcement was bare steel (not epoxy coated) and had a minimum yield strength of 60 ksi. Concrete compressive strength tests performed on the day the test was performed on samples taken from pours made on the deck and rail revealed compressive strengths of 5399 psi and 4341 psi, respectively.

Test 442882-1 yielded unsatisfactory results. As a result, a modification was made to the F411 Bridge Rail to improve performance. The rail was modified by enclosing the open space beneath the lower rail with concrete, thus making it flush. Enclosing the bottom of the rail increased the effective surface contact area of the installation. Please refer to the drawings shown in Figure 1 for additional details. Figures 2 and 3 show photographs of the completed installations.

**T77 Bridge Rail**

TTI designed, constructed, and crash tested a prototype steel aesthetic bridge rail designated as TxDOT Type T77. Appendix B presents design calculations for the bridge rail. The total length of the railing installation was 75 ft (22.7 m). The T77 bridge railing system is a steel rail and post system consisting of two tubular steel rail elements mounted on 1-1/4 inch (32 mm) thick steel plate posts spaced 8 ft (2.4 m) apart. The elliptical-shaped rails were 8 inch × 4-7/8 inch (203 mm × 124 mm) and were manufactured from 6 inch (152 mm) diameter, API-5LX52 pipe with a wall thickness of 0.188 inch (20 mm). The center of the lower rail and the top of the upper rail measured 1 ft-6-inches (0.45 m) and 2 ft-9 inches (0.8 m), respectively, from
Figure 1. Details of the TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail.
Figure 1. Details of the TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail (Continued).
Figure 2. TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail before Test 442882-1.
Figure 3. TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail before Test 442882-2.
the pavement surface. The rails were welded to the posts. The 1–1/4 inch (32 mm) thick posts were fabricated in the shape of the numeral “7” and were welded 11–1/2 inch × 12 inch × 1–1/2 inch (292 mm × 305 mm × 38 mm) thick baseplates. Each post was anchored to the curb using four 7/8 inch (22 mm) diameter A325 anchor bolts with a 7 inch × 11 inch × 1/4 inch (178 mm × 279 mm × 6 mm) thick anchor plate used for additional anchorage. The bridge railing system was supported by a cast-in-place concrete deck and curb. The curb was 14 inches (356 mm) wide and 9 inches (229 mm) high on the traffic side and 5-1/2 inches (140 mm) high on the field side. The top of the curb sloped downward approximately 14 degrees from horizontal toward the field side. The post plates were sloped in a similar fashion so that the two rail elements were flush with the traffic side face of the curb. The post plates and base plates were manufactured from A572 grade 50 steel. Gordon Specialties, Inc., of Hutchins, Texas, fabricated the bridge rail. TTI fabricated the anchor plates.

The railing installation was constructed using 2 ft (0.6 m) long elliptical-shaped sleeve splices which were also manufactured from 6 inch (152 mm) diameter API-5LX52 pipe formed into an 8 inch × 4–7/8 inch (203 mm × 124 mm) elliptical shape. To obtain a secure fit of these splices inside the elliptical rail pipe, small arch segments were removed from the upper and lower areas of each splice sleeve with the two halves welded together to obtain a secure fit inside the rails. The splices were constructed with a close fitting tolerance and provided approximately 1–1/4 inch of rail expansion at each splice. These splices were located 1 ft (0.3 m) from posts 4 and 7.

A simulated concrete bridge deck cantilever and curb was constructed immediately adjacent to an existing concrete runway located at the TTI test facility. The total length of the installation was 75 ft (22.9 m). The bridge deck cantilever was 2 ft-5 inches (0.7 m) in width and 8 inches (203 mm) thick and was rigidly attached to an existing concrete foundation at the testing facility. A 1 ft-2 inch (0.4 m) wide concrete curb, 9 inches (229 mm) high on the traffic side and 5-1/2 inches (140 mm) wide on the field side was cast on top of the concrete deck. Transverse reinforcement in the deck consisted of two layers of #5’s spaced 6 inches (152 mm) apart. Longitudinal reinforcement in the top layer of the deck consisted of two #4’s spaced 10 inches (254 mm) apart closest to the field side edge with a third bar located approximately 6-3/4 inches (171 mm) away. Longitudinal reinforcement in the bottom layer of the deck consisted of two #5’s located 3 inches (76 mm) apart closest to the field side edge with a third #5 bar located approximately 12 inches (305 mm) away. In addition to the deck reinforcement, #5 hoop-shaped “U” bars located 6 inches (152 mm) apart were cast in the deck for reinforcement for the concrete curb. Longitudinal reinforcement in the curb consisted of two #5 bars equally spaced in the top of the “U” Bars. All reinforcement used in the top layer of the deck was epoxy coated. All other reinforcement was bare steel (not epoxy coated). All reinforcement was specified to have a minimum yield strength of 60 ksi.

Standard concrete compressive strength cylinders were cast for both the concrete deck and curb. For the concrete deck, strength tests performed at 11 days age resulted in an average compressive strength of 4155 psi. For the concrete curb, strength tests performed at 7 days age resulted in an average compressive strength of 3728 psi. Figure 4 provides additional details. Figure 5 shows photographs of the completed installations.
Figure 4. Details of the TxDOT T77 Bridge Rail.

NOTES:
1. DECK CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (f’c) OF 4000 psi.
2. CURB CONCRETE SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (f’c) OF 3600 psi.
3. REINFORCING STEEL SHALL BE EPOXY COATED AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM YIELD STRENGTH OF 60ksi. ALL OTHER REINFORCEMENT INCLUDING CURB REINFORCING SHALL BE BARE (NOT EPOXY COATED).
4. POST, BASEPLATE, AND BEVELED WASHERS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED FROM A572 GRADE 50 MATERIAL.
Figure 4. Details of the TxDOT T77 Bridge Rail (Continued).
Figure 4. Details of the TxDOT T77 Bridge Rail (Continued).
Figure 4. Details of the TxDOT T77 Bridge Rail (Continued).
Figure 4. Details of the TxDOT T77 Bridge Rail (Continued).
Figure 5. TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail before Test 442882-3 and 4.
CRASH TEST CONDITIONS

According to NCHRP Report 350, two crash tests are recommended for test level 3 evaluation of length of need longitudinal barriers:

NCHRP Report 350 Test Designation 3-10: 820C vehicle impacting the length of need section at a speed of 100 km/h at an impact angle of 20 degrees.

NCHRP Report 350 Test Designation 3-11: 2000P vehicle impacting the length of need section at a speed of 100 km/h at an impact angle of 25 degrees.

The small car test is performed for evaluating the overall performance characteristics of the length of need section of a longitudinal barrier in general, and occupant risks in particular. The pickup truck test is performed for the purpose of evaluating the strength of the section in containing and redirecting the larger and heavier vehicle. Occupant risks are of foremost concern in the evaluation of both tests. Tests 442882-1 through 3 all correspond to NCHRP Report 350 test designation 3-11. Test 442882-4 corresponds to NCHRP Report 350 test designation 3-10.

Researchers conducted the crash test and data analysis procedures in accordance with guidelines presented in NCHRP Report 350. Appendix C presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The crash tests performed were evaluated in accordance with NCHRP Report 350. As stated in NCHRP Report 350, “Safety performance of a highway appurtenance cannot be measured directly but can be judged on the basis of three factors: structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory after collision.” Accordingly, researchers used the safety evaluation criteria from Table 5.1 of NCHRP Report 350 to evaluate the crash tests reported herein.
CHAPTER 3. CRASH TEST RESULTS

TEST NO. 442882-1 (NCHRP Report 350 TEST NO. 3-11) ON THE TXDOT F411 BRIDGE RAIL

Test Vehicle

A 1997 Chevrolet Cheyenne 2500 pickup truck, shown in Figures 6 and 7, was used for the crash test. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was 4502 lb (2044 kg), and its gross static mass was 4502 lb (2044 kg). The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 18.1 inches (460 mm), and it was 26.8 inches (680 mm) to the upper edge of the bumper. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in Appendix D, Figure 34. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Weather Conditions

Researchers performed the test on the morning of May 6, 2002. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind speed: 11 mi/h (18 km/h); Wind direction: 335 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southwesterly direction); Temperature: 81°F (27 °C); Relative humidity: 69 percent.

Test Description

The vehicle, traveling at a speed of 61.4 mi/h (98.8 km/h), impacted the TxDOT F411 at an impact angle of 24.8 degrees at 5.1 inches (130 mm) upstream of opening 17. Shortly after impact, the vehicle hood deformed and at 0.018 s after impact, the vehicle began to redirect. The door on the passenger side separated slightly from the cab at 0.031 s and at 0.108 s, the left front tire became airborne. At 0.233 s, the vehicle became parallel with the bridge rail and was traveling at a speed of 42.1 mi/h (67.7 km/h). The left front tire returned to the ground at 0.304 s. At 0.386 s, the vehicle lost contact with the bridge rail and was traveling at a speed of 36.0 mi/h (57.9 km/h) and an exit angle of 7.3 degrees. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 1.45 s after impact, and the vehicle subsequently came to rest 157.5 ft (48.0 m) downstream of impact and 7.5 ft (2.3 m) behind the traffic face of the rail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in Appendix E, Figures 38 and 39.
Figure 6. Vehicle/Bridge Rail Geometrics for Test 442882-1.
Figure 7. Vehicle before Test 442882-1.
**Damage to Test Installation**

The TxDOT F411 bridge rail sustained minimal cosmetic damage as shown in Figures 8 and 9. There were tire marks and scrapes along the face of the bridge rail for a distance of 11.4 ft (3.5 m). No cracks were noted in the beam rail, window frames, or deck. No measurable deformation occurred, and the working width was 1.4 ft. (0.4 m).

**Vehicle Damage**

*Figure 10* shows damage imparted to the vehicle. Structural damage included deformation of the right upper and lower A-arms, right spindle and tie rod ends, stabilizer bar, right front of the frame, A and B pillars, floor pan, and firewall. Also damaged were the front bumper, hood, radiator and fan, right front tire and wheel, right front quarter panel, right door and window glass, and right side bed. The roof was deformed and the windshield was cracked. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 25.6 inches (650 mm) in the front plane at the right front corner near bumper height. The vehicle was also crushed 20.9 inches (530 mm) in the side plane at the right front corner near bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 8.3 inches (210 mm) in the right side door area. The right side floor pan area was deformed inward 7.3 inches (186 mm), and the right side firewall area was deformed inward 6.9 inches (175 mm). *Figure 11* shows photographs of the interior of the vehicle. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment deformation are shown in Appendix D, Tables 5 and 6.

**Occupant Risk Factors**

Data from the tri-axial accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized to compute occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations. Only the occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations in the longitudinal axis are required from these data for evaluation of criterion L of *NCHRP Report 350*. In the longitudinal direction, occupant impact velocity was 26.2 ft/s (8.0 m/s) at 0.100 s, maximum 0.010-s ridedown acceleration was –6.0 g’s from 0.100 to 0.110 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was –12.3 g’s between 0.062 and 0.112 s. *Figure 12* presents these data and other information pertinent to the test. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are shown in Appendix F, Figures 46 and 50 through 55, respectively.

**Assessment of Test Results**

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *NCHRP Report 350* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

♦ **Structural Adequacy**

A. *Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.*
Figure 8. After Impact Trajectory for Test 442882-1.
Figure 9. Installation after Test 442882-1.
Figure 10. Vehicle after Test 442882-1.
Before Test

After Test

Figure 11. Interior of Vehicle for Test 442882-1.
General Information
Test Agency ...................... Texas Transportation Institute
Test No ............................ 442882-1
Date ............................... 05/06/02

Test Article
Type ......................... Bridge Rail
Name .......................... F411 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Installation Length (ft) ....... 76 (23.2 m)
Material or Key Elements ... Concrete Bridge Rail With Two Concrete Rails And Aesthetic Openings

Soil Type and Condition .... Concrete Footing, Dry

Test Vehicle
Type ............................. Production
Designation .................... 2000P
Model ......................... 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Mass (lbs) ...................... 4778 (2170 kg)
Curb ............................ 4502 (2044 kg)
Test Inertial ................... 4502 (2044 kg)
Dummy ......................... N/A
Gross Static .................. 4502 (2044 kg)

Impact Conditions
Speed (mi/h) .................. 61.4 (98.8 km/h)
Exit Conditions
Speed (mi/h) .................. 36.0 (57.9 km/h)
Angle (deg) ................... 7.3

Occupant Risk Values
Impact Velocity (ft/s)
x-direction .................. 26.2 (8.0 m/s)
y-direction .................. 23.0 (7.0 m/s)
THIV (mph) .................. 23.5 (37.8 km/h)

Ridedown Accelerations (g’s)
x-direction .................. -6.0
y-direction .................. -5.4
PHD (g’s) ...................... 6.8
ASI ............................. 1.50
Max. 0.050-s Average (g’s)
x-direction .................. -12.3
y-direction .................. -10.5
z-direction .................. 5.2

Test Article Deflections (ft)
Dynamic ........................ None
Permanent ...................... None
Working Width ................ 1.41 (0.43 m)

Vehicle Damage
Exterior
VDS .......................... 01FR2
CDC .......................... 01RFAW3
Maximum Exterior Vehicle Crush (in) .... 25.6 (650 mm)
Interior
OCDI .......................... RF222010
Max. Occ. Compartment Deformation (in) .... 8.3 (210 mm)

Post-Impact Behavior
Max. Yaw Angle (deg) ....... -30.5
Max. Pitch Angle (deg) ...... -2.2
Max. Roll Angle (deg) ....... 4.1

Figure 12. Summary of Results for Test 442882-1, NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-11.
Results: The TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred.

◆ Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

Results: No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. However, maximum deformation of the occupant compartment was 8.3 inches (210 mm) in the door area, 7.3 inches (186 mm) in the right floor pan area, and 6.9 inches (175 mm) in the right firewall area.

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

Results: The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period.

◆ Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

Results: The pickup truck came to rest 157.5 ft (48.0 m) downstream of impact and 7.5 ft (2.3 m) behind the traffic face of the bridge rail.

L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s, and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 26.2 ft/s (8.0 m/s), and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.0 g’s.

M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of the test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with the test device.

Results: Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 7.3 degrees, which is 29 percent of the impact angle.
The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled “Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features,” were used for visual assessment of test results:

♦ **Passenger Compartment Intrusion**

  1. Windshield Intrusion
     a. No windshield contact
     b. Windshield contact, no damage
     c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
     d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
     e. Complete intrusion into passenger compartment
     f. Partial intrusion into passenger compartment

  2. Body Panel Intrusion
     yes or no

♦ **Loss of Vehicle Control**

  1. Physical loss of control
  2. Loss of windshield visibility
  3. Perceived threat to other vehicles
  4. Debris on pavement

♦ **Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles**

  1. Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
  2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles
     No debris was present.

♦ **Vehicle and Device Condition**

  1. Vehicle Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
     c. Significant cosmetic dents
     d. Major dents to grill and body panels
     e. Major structural damage

  2. Windshield Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor chip or crack
     c. Broken, no interference with visibility
     d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact
     e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
     f. Large portion removed
     g. Completely removed

  3. Device Damage
     a. None
     b. Superficial
     c. Substantial, but can be straightened
     d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair
     e. Cannot be repaired
TEST NO. 442882-2 (NCHRP Report 350 TEST NO. 3-11) ON THE MODIFIED TXDOT F411 BRIDGE RAIL

Test Vehicle

A 1998 Chevrolet 2500 pickup truck, shown in Figures 13 and 14, was used for the crash test. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was 4518 lb (2052 kg), and its gross static mass was 4518 lb (2052 kg). The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 14.0 inches (360 mm), and it was 25.2 inches (645 mm) to the upper edge of the bumper. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in Appendix D, Figure 35. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Weather Conditions

Researchers performed the test on the morning of July 18, 2002. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind speed: 3 mi/h (5 km/h); Wind direction: 0 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southwesterly direction); Temperature: 88 °F (31°C); Relative humidity: 64 percent.

Test Description

The vehicle, traveling at a speed of 62.8 mi/h (101.1 km/h), impacted the modified TxDOT F411 at an impact angle of 26.1 degrees at 2.3 inches (58 mm) downstream of opening 17. Shortly after impact, the hood began to open and the top of the door on the driver’s side separated slightly from the door frame and at 0.033 s, the vehicle began to redirect. The right front tire became airborne at 0.079 s. At 0.202 s, the vehicle became parallel with the bridge rail and was traveling at a speed of 52.8 mi/h (84.9 km/h). At 0.287 s, the vehicle lost contact with the bridge rail and was traveling at a speed of 49.6 mi/h (79.9 km/h) and an exit angle of 4.5 degrees. The right front tire returned to the ground at 0.332 s. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 1.5 s after impact, and the vehicle subsequently came to rest 221.4 ft (67.5 m) downstream of impact and 15.6 ft (4.8 m) forward of the traffic face of the rail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in Appendix E, Figures 40 and 41.

Damage to Test Installation

The modified TxDOT F411 bridge rail sustained minimal cosmetic damage as shown in Figures 15 and 16. There were tire marks and scrapes along the face of the lower beam of the bridge rail for a distance of 9.8 ft (3.0 m). No cracks were noted in the beam rail, window frames, or deck. No measurable deformation occurred, and the working width was 1.7 ft (0.5 m).
Figure 13. Vehicle/Bridge Rail Geometrics for Test 442882-2.
Figure 14. Vehicle before Test 442882-2.
Vehicle Damage

Figure 17 shows damage imparted to the vehicle. Structural damage included deformation of the left upper and lower A-arms, left rod ends, sway bar, left A- and B-pillars, floor pan, and firewall. Also damaged were the front bumper, grill, hood, radiator and fan, left front tire and wheel, left front quarter panel, left door, and left side bed. The roof was deformed, and the windshield was cracked. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 26.4 inches (670 mm) in the side plane at the left front corner 31.9 inches (810 mm) above ground level. The vehicle was also crushed 21.7 inches (550 mm) in the frontal plane at the left corner near bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 4.6 inches (118 mm) in the instrument panel area. The factory-installed opening, which accommodates the manual transmission floor shift, tore at the forward and rear corners, increasing the opening to 7.1 inches (180 mm) long and 6.3 inches (160 mm) wide. (The dimensions of the factory-installed opening for the floor shift was originally 5.6 inches [143 m] by 5.6 inches [143 mm]). No other separation in the floor pan or toe pan was noted. Figure 18 shows photographs of the interior of the vehicle. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment deformations are shown in Appendix D, Tables 7 and 8.

Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the tri-axial accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized to compute occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations. Only the occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations in the longitudinal axis are required from these data for evaluation of criterion L of NCHRP Report 350. In the longitudinal direction, occupant impact velocity was 24.6 ft/s (7.5 m/s) at 0.095 s, maximum 0.010-s ridedown acceleration was –6.7 g’s from 0.110 to 0.120 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was –10.7 g’s between 0.041 and 0.091 s. These data and other information pertinent to the test are presented in Figure 19. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are shown in Appendix F, Figures 47 and 56 through 61, respectively.

Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable NCHRP Report 350 safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

❄ Structural Adequacy

A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.

Results: The modified TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred.
Figure 15. After Impact Trajectory for Test 442882-2.
Figure 17. Vehicle after Test 442882-2.
Before Test

After Test

Figure 18. Interior of Vehicle for Test 442882-2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Test Agency .................................. Texas Transportation Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test No. ......................... 442882-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date ......................... 07/18/02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Article</td>
<td>Bridge Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type .......................... F411 Aesthetic Bridge Rail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name ..................................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation Length (ft) ............... 76 (23.2 m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material or Key Elements ........... Concrete Bridge Rail With Two Concrete Rails And Aesthetic Openings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Type and Condition ........... Concrete Footing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Vehicle</td>
<td>Pickup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type .................................. Production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designation ......................... 2000P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model .................................. 1998 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (lbs) ...................... 4569 (2075 kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb ..................................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Inertial ..................... 4518 (2052 kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dummy ......................... N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Static .................. 4518 (2052 kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Conditions</td>
<td>Speed (mi/h) ............................. 62.8 (101.1 km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle (deg) ................... 26.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Conditions</td>
<td>Speed (mi/h) ....................... 49.6 (79.9 km/h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle (deg) ......... 4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupant Risk Values</td>
<td>Impact Velocity (ft/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-direction .......................... 24.6 (7.5 m/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction ................... 28.5 (8.7 m/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIV (mph) ..................... 25.5 (41.0 km/h)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridedown Accelerations (g’s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-direction ................... -6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction ............... 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHD (g’s) ................... 8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI ..................... 1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. 0.050-s Average (g’s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-direction ................... -10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction ............... 13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-direction ........... 4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Article Deflections (ft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic ......................... None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent ......................... None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Width ............... 1.71 (0.52 m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Damage</td>
<td>Exterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS ..................... 11FL3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC ....................... 11LFAW3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Exterior</td>
<td>Vehicle Crush (in) ................ 26.4 (670 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior</td>
<td>OCDI ..................... LF2010000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Occ. Compart.</td>
<td>4.6 (118 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation (in) ........ 23.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Impact Behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(during 1.0 s after impact)</td>
<td>Max. Yaw Angle (deg) ........ 33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Pitch Angle (deg) ....... -2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Roll Angle (deg) .......... -7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19. Summary of Results for Test 442882-2, *NCHRP Report 350* Test 3-11.
Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

Results: No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Deformation of the occupant compartment was 4.6 inches (118 mm) in the instrument panel area and 4.1 inches (105 mm) in the firewall area. The factory-installed opening, which accommodates the manual transmission floor shift, tore at the forward and rear corners, increasing the opening to 7.1 inches (180 mm) long and 6.3 inches (160 mm) wide. (The dimensions of the factory-installed opening for the floor shift was originally 5.6 inches [143 m] by 5.6 inches [143 mm]). No other separation in the floor pan or toe pan was noted.

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

Results: The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period.

Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

Results: The pickup truck came to rest 221.4 ft (67.5 m) downstream of impact and 15.6 ft (4.8 m) forward of the traffic face of the bridge rail.

L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 24.6 ft/s (7.5 m/s), and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.7 g’s.

M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of the test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with the test device.

Results: Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 4.5 degrees, which is 17 percent of the impact angle.
The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled “Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features,” were used for visual assessment of test results:

♦ **Passenger Compartment Intrusion**
  1. Windshield Intrusion
     a. **No windshield contact**
     b. Windshield contact, no damage
     c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
     d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
     e. Complete intrusion into passenger compartment
     f. Partial intrusion into passenger compartment
  2. Body Panel Intrusion
     yes or no

♦ **Loss of Vehicle Control**
  1. Physical loss of control
  2. Loss of windshield visibility
  3. Perceived threat to other vehicles
  4. Debris on pavement

♦ **Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles**
  1. Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
  2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles
     No debris was present.

♦ **Vehicle and Device Condition**
  1. Vehicle Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
     c. Significant cosmetic dents
     d. Major dents to grill and body panels
     e. **Major structural damage**
  2. Windshield Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor chip or crack
     c. Broken, no interference with visibility
     d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact
     e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
     f. Large portion removed
     g. Completely removed
  3. Device Damage
     a. None
     b. **Superficial**
     c. Substantial, but can be straightened
     d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair
     e. Cannot be repaired
TEST NO. 442882-3 *(NCHRP Report 350 TEST NO. 3-11)* ON THE TXDOT T77 BRIDGE RAIL

**Test Vehicle**

A 1997 Chevrolet 2500 pickup truck, shown in Figures 20 and 21, was used for the crash test. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was 4500 lb (2043 kg), and its gross static mass was 4500 lb (2043 kg). The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 14.4 inches (365 mm), and it was 25.6 inches (650 mm) to the upper edge of the bumper. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in Appendix D, Figure 36. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

**Weather Conditions**

Researchers performed the test on the morning of August 23, 2002. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind speed: 6 mi/h (9 km/h); Wind direction: 320 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southwesterly direction); Temperature: 90 °F (32 °C); Relative humidity: 63 percent.

**Test Description**

The pickup truck, traveling at a speed of 60.8 mi/h (97.8 km/h), impacted the TxDOT T77 bridge rail at an impact angle of 24.2 degrees at 3.9 ft (1.2 m) upstream of post 4. At approximately 0.017 s after impact, the hood of the vehicle snapped loose. At 0.029 s post 4 began to deflect toward the field side. The right front corner of the vehicle bumper pushed between the rail elements and contacted post 4 at 0.037 s. Post 3 began to deflect toward the field side at 0.039 s. At 0.041 s the pickup began to redirect, and at 0.057 s the passenger door deformed at the top of the door frame. At 0.069 s post 5 began to deflect toward the field side. The pickup began traveling parallel with the bridge rail at 0.224 s and was traveling at a speed of 47.7 mi/h (76.8 km/h). At 0.226 s the rail element between posts 3 and 4 began to deform. By 0.427 s, the pickup lost contact with the rail element and was traveling at an exit speed of 44.7 mi/h (71.9 km/h) and an exit angle of 10.8 degrees. Brakes on the vehicle were applied at 1.45 s after impact. The vehicle contacted a secondary barrier, yawed clockwise, and came to rest 187.7 ft (57.2 m) downstream of impact and 12.5 ft (3.8 m) forward of the traffic face of the rail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in Appendix E, Figures 42 and 43.

**Damage to Test Installation**

Damage to the TxDOT T77 bridge rail is shown in Figures 22 and 23. The concrete curb was broken out around post 4, and the post and rail were deflected toward the rear side 0.4 inches
Figure 20. Vehicle/Bridge Rail Geometrics for Test 442882-3.
Figure 21. Vehicle before Test 442882-3.
The pickup snagged on the lower rail splice just upstream of post 4 and expanded the joint 0.1 inch (3 mm). The lower rail element was also crushed 1.0 inch (25 mm). The pickup was in contact with the rail for 16.4 ft (5.0 m). Maximum deflection of the rail during the test was 1.8 inches (47 mm), and maximum permanent deformation was 0.4 inch (10 mm). Working width was 2.1 ft (0.6 m).

### Vehicle Damage

The vehicle sustained damage as shown in Figure 24. The right side A-arms, sway bar, and right front of the frame rail were deformed. The A-pillar was deformed, there was a crease in the top right side of the cab, and the top of the right door was pulled away from the cab 11.4 inches (290 mm). Also damaged were the front bumper, hood, grill, radiator, fan, right front and left front quarter panel, right side bed, rear bumper, and right rear tire and wheel. The right front tire and exterior part of the wheel rim separated from the center part of the wheel rim (at the pop rivets). Small pieces of sheet metal were torn from the lower section of the cab and the right rear side of the bed (2.4 inches × 5.9 inches [60 mm × 150 mm]). Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 30.7 inches (780 mm) in the front plane at the right front corner at bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 8.7 inches (222 mm) in the right front firewall. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in Figure 25. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment deformations are shown in Appendix D, Tables 9 and 10.

### Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the tri-axial accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity, were digitized to compute occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations. Only the occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations in the longitudinal axis are required from these data for evaluation of criterion L of *NCHRP Report 350*. In the longitudinal direction, occupant impact velocity was 21.6 ft/s (6.6 m/s) at 0.111 s, maximum 0.010-s ridedown acceleration was -5.6 g’s from 0.111 to 0.121 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was –9.8 g’s between 0.027 and 0.077 s. These data and other information pertinent to the test are presented in Figure 26. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are shown in Appendix F, Figures 48 and 62 through 67, respectively.

### Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *NCHRP Report 350* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

♦ **Structural Adequacy**

A. **Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.**
Figure 22. After Impact Trajectory for Test 442882-3.
Figure 23. Installation after Test 442882-3.
Figure 24. Vehicle after Test 442882-3.
Figure 25. Interior of Vehicle for Test 442882-3.
### General Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Agency</th>
<th>Texas Transportation Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test No.</td>
<td>442882-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>08/23/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Test Article

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Bridge Rail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation Length (ft)</td>
<td>75 (22.7 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material or Key Elements</td>
<td>Tubular Steel Rail Elements Mounted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Steel “7” Shaped Posts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Soil Type and Condition

| Type                  | Concrete Footing               |

### Test Vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designation</td>
<td>2000P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb</td>
<td>4723 (2145 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Inertial</td>
<td>4500 (2043 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dummy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Static</td>
<td>4500 (2043 kg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Impact Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (mi/h)</th>
<th>60.8 (97.8 km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle (deg)</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Exit Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed (mi/h)</th>
<th>44.7 (71.9 km/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle (deg)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Occupant Risk Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Velocity (ft/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIV (mph)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ridedown Accelerations (g's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHD (g's)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max. 0.050-s Average (g's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| THIV (mph)                  | 21.6 (6.6 m/s)   |
| ASI                          | 1.23              |

### Test Article Deflections (ft)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Permanent</th>
<th>Working Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Damage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exterior</th>
<th>Interior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VDS</td>
<td>OCDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01FR3</td>
<td>RF0020000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Crush (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.7 (780 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Post-Impact Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(during 1.0 s after impact)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max. Yaw Angle (deg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Pitch Angle (deg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Roll Angle (deg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 26.** Summary of Results for Test 442882-3, *NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-11.*
Results: The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the bridge rail. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was 1.9 inches (47 mm).

♦ Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

Results: No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 8.7 inches (222 mm).

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

Results: The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision event.

♦ Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

Results: The vehicle came to rest 187.6 ft (57.2 m) downstream of impact and 12.5 ft (3.8 m) forward of the face of the rail.

L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 21.6 ft/s (6.6 m/s) and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –5.6 g’s.

M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of the test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with the test device.

Results: Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 10.8 degrees, which is 44 percent of the impact angle.
The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled “Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features,” were used for visual assessment of test results:

♦ **Passenger Compartment Intrusion**
1. Windshield Intrusion
   a. No windshield contact
   b. Windshield contact, no damage
   c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
   d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
   e. Complete intrusion into passenger compartment
   f. Partial intrusion into passenger compartment
2. Body Panel Intrusion

♦ **Loss of Vehicle Control**
1. Physical loss of control
2. Loss of windshield visibility
   3. Perceived threat to other vehicles
   4. Debris on pavement

♦ **Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles**
1. Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles
   No debris was present.

♦ **Vehicle and Device Condition**
1. Vehicle Damage
   a. None
   b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
   c. Significant cosmetic dents
   d. Major dents to grill and body panels
   e. Major structural damage
2. Windshield Damage
   a. None
   b. Minor chip or crack (stress cracks)
   c. Broken, no interference with visibility
   d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact
   e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
   f. Large portion removed
   g. Completely removed
3. Device Damage
   a. None
   b. Superficial
   c. Substantial, but can be straightened
   d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair
   e. Cannot be repaired
TEST NO. 442882-4 (NCHRP Report 350 TEST NO. 3-10) ON THE TXDOT T77 BRIDGE RAIL

Test Vehicle

A 1997 Geo Metro, shown in Figures 27 and 28, was used for the crash test. Test inertia mass of the vehicle was 1806 lb (820 kg), and its gross static mass was 1976 lb (897 kg). The height to the lower edge of the vehicle bumper was 15.7 inches (400 mm), and it was 20.7 inches (525 mm) to the upper edge of the bumper. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in Appendix D, Figure 37. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Weather Conditions

Researchers performed the test on the morning of August 27, 2002. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind speed: 0 mi/h (0 km/h); Wind direction: 0 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a southwesterly direction); Temperature: 88 °F (31 °C); Relative humidity: 69 percent.

Test Description

The small car, traveling at a speed of 61.6 mi/h (99.1 km/h), impacted the TxDOT T77 bridge rail 4.5 ft (1.4 m) upstream of post 7 at an impact angle of 20.4 degrees. At approximately 0.042 s after impact, the vehicle began to redirect, and at 0.070 s the driver side door glass shattered. The vehicle began traveling parallel with the rail at 0.132 s, and was traveling at a speed of 52.5 mi/h (84.5 km/h). At 0.304 s, the vehicle lost contact with the bridge rail and was traveling at a speed of 51.0 mi/h (82.0 km/h) and an exit angle of 12.1 degrees. Brakes on the vehicle were applied 1.7 s after impact. The vehicle subsequently came to rest 202.7 ft (61.8 m) downstream of impact and 87.6 ft (26.7 m) forward of the traffic face of the rail. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in Appendix E, Figures 44 and 45.

Damage to Test Installation

The TxDOT T77 bridge rail sustained damage as shown in Figures 29 and 30. The edge of the concrete curb was chipped off in the area of initial contact. A hairline crack in the concrete curb radiated from the right rear bolt at post 7, and the post and rail were deflected toward the rear side 0.4 inches (10 mm). The small car snagged on the upper and lower rail splice just upstream of post 7. The small car was in contact with the rail 9.7 ft (3.0 m). There was no measurable deflection of the rail during the test, and maximum permanent deformation was 0.4 inches (10 mm). Working width was 1.9 ft (0.6 m).
Figure 27. Vehicle/Bridge Rail Geometrics for Test 442882-4.
Figure 28. Vehicle before Test 442882-4.
Vehicle Damage

Figure 31 shows damage imparted to the vehicle. The inner CV joint on the left side was pulled out of the transmission, the lower left ball joint separated, and the left front and rear struts were deformed. A piece of sheet metal was torn from the left front quarter panel and from the left door. The driver’s side door was pushed outward, and the top of the door was separated from the door frame 2.6 inches (65 mm). The windshield sustained stress cracks and there was a small dent in the roof just above the A-pillar. Also damaged were the front bumper, hood, grill, radiator, fan, left front quarter panel, left front tire and wheel rim, left door and glass, left rear quarter panel, and left rear taillight. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 8.9 inches (230 mm) in the frontal plane at the left front corner near bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 1.0 inch (25 mm) in the left front firewall area and the left side kickpanel area. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in Figure 32. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment deformations are shown in Appendix D, Tables 11 and 12.

Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle center of gravity (c.g.), were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk and were computed as follows. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 16.7 ft/s (5.1 m/s) at 0.082 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was –2.3 g’s from 0.171 to 0.181 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was –9.8 g’s between 0.026 and 0.076 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 25.6 ft/s (7.8 m/s) at 0.082 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 10.0 g’s from 0.161 to 0.171 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was 14.3 g’s between 0.027 and 0.077 s. These data and other information pertinent to the test are presented in Figure 33. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are shown in Appendix F, Figures 49 and 68 through 73, respectively.

Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable NCHRP Report 350 safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

- **Structural Adequacy**
  
  **A.** Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.

  **Results:** The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the small car. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was not measurable.
Figure 29. After Impact Trajectory for Test 442882-4.
Figure 30. Installation after Test 442882-4.
Figure 31. Vehicle after Test 442882-4.
Figure 32. Interior of Vehicle for Test 442882-4.
General Information
Test Agency ................................ Texas Transportation Institute
Test No. .................................. 442882-4
Date ..................................... 08/27/02

Test Article
Type ....................................... Bridge Rail
Name ..................................... T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Installation Length (ft) ............ 75 (22.7 m)
Material or Key Elements ......... Tubular Steel Rail Elements Mounted On
Steel "7" Shaped Posts

Soil Type and Condition ............ Concrete Footing

Test Vehicle
Type ....................................... Production
Designation ............................. 820C
Model ..................................... 1997 Geo Metro
Mass (lbs) .................................
Curb ..................................... 1792 (814 kg)
Test Inertial ............................ 1806 (820 kg)
Dummy ................................. 169 (77 kg)
Gross Static ........................... 1976 (897 kg)

Impact Conditions
Speed (mi/h) ........................... 61.6 (99.1 km/h)
Angle (deg) ............................. 20.4

Exit Conditions
Speed (mi/h) ........................... 51.0 (82.0 km/h)
Angle (deg) ............................. 12.1

Occupant Risk Values
Impact Velocity (ft/s)
  x-direction ............................. 16.7 (5.1 m/s)
y-direction ............................. 25.6 (7.8 m/s)

THIV (mph) .............................. 19.8 (31.9 km/h)
Ridedown Accelerations (g's)
  x-direction ............................. -2.3
  y-direction ............................. 10.0
  PHD (g's) .............................. 10.0
  ASI ..................................... 1.79
Max. 0.050-s Average (g's)
  x-direction ............................. -9.8
  y-direction ............................. 14.3
  z-direction ............................. -3.2

Test Article Deflections (ft)
  Dynamic ................................ None
  Permanent ............................. 0.04 (0.01 m)
  Working Width ........................ 1.90 (0.58 m)

Vehicle Damage
Exterior
  VDS ..................................... 11FL2
  CDC ..................................... 11LFEW2
  Maximum Exterior
  Vehicle Crush (in) ................. 8.9 (230 mm)
Interior
  OCDI ..................................... LF0010000
  Max. Occ. Compartment
  Deformation (in) .................... 1.0 (25 mm)
Post-Impact Behavior
  (during 1.0 s after impact)
  Max. Yaw Angle (deg) ............ 38.2
  Max. Pitch Angle (deg) ........... -3.2
  Max. Roll Angle (deg) ............ -14.2

Figure 33. Summary of Results for Test 442882-4, NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-10.
Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

Results: No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 1.0 inch (25 mm) in the left front firewall area and the left side kickpanel area.

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

Results: The small car remained upright during and after the collision event.

H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity – m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 16.7 ft/s (5.1 m/s), and lateral occupant impact velocity was 25.6 ft/s (7.8 m/s).

I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations – g’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results: Longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was –2.3 g’s, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 10.0 g’s.

Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

Results: The vehicle came to rest 202.7 ft (61.8 m) downstream of impact and 87.6 ft (26.7 m) forward of the traffic face of the rail.

M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of the test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with the test device.
Results: Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 12.1 degrees, which is 60 percent of the impact angle.

The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled “Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features,” were used for visual assessment of test results:

◆ Passenger Compartment Intrusion
  1. Windshield Intrusion
     a. No windshield contact
     b. Windshield contact, no damage
     c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
     d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
     e. Complete intrusion into passenger compartment
     f. Partial intrusion into passenger compartment
  2. Body Panel Intrusion
     yes or no

◆ Loss of Vehicle Control
  1. Physical loss of control
  2. Loss of windshield visibility
  3. Perceived threat to other vehicles
  4. Debris on pavement

◆ Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles
  1. Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
  2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles
     No debris was present.

◆ Vehicle and Device Condition
  1. Vehicle Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
     c. Significant cosmetic dents
     d. Major dents to grill and body panels
     e. Major structural damage
  2. Windshield Damage
     a. None
     b. Minor chip or crack (stress cracks)
     c. Broken, no interference with visibility
     d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact
     e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
     f. Large portion removed
     g. Completely removed
  3. Device Damage
     a. None
     b. Superficial
     c. Substantial, but can be straightened
     d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair
     e. Cannot be repaired
CHAPTER 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

F411 Bridge Rail

Test 442882-1 *(NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11)*

The TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. However, maximum deformation of the occupant compartment was 8.3 inches (210 mm) in the door area, 7.3 inches (186 mm) in the right floor pan area, and 6.9 inches (175 mm) in the right firewall area. The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period. The pickup truck came to rest 157.5 ft (48.0 m) downstream of impact and 7.5 ft (2.3 m) behind the traffic face of the bridge rail. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 26.2 ft/s (8.0 m/s) and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.0 g’s. Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 7.3 degrees, which is 29 percent of the impact angle.

Test 442882-2 *(NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11)*

The modified TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Deformation of the occupant compartment was 4.6 inches (118 mm) in the instrument panel area and 4.1 inches (105 mm) in the firewall area. The factory-installed opening, which accommodates the manual transmission floor shift, tore at the forward and rear corners, increasing the opening to 7.1 inches (180 mm) long and 6.3 inches (160 mm) wide. (The dimensions of the factory-installed opening for the floor shift was originally 5.6 inches (143 m) by 5.6 inches [143 mm]). No other separation in the floor pan or toe pan was noted. The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period. The pickup truck came to rest 221.4 ft (67.5 m) downstream of impact and 15.6 ft (4.8 m) forward of the traffic face of the bridge rail. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 24.6 ft/s (7.5 m/s) and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.7 g’s. Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 4.5 degrees, which is 17 percent of the impact angle.

T77 Bridge Rail

Test 442882-3 *(NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11)*

The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the bridge rail. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was 1.9 inches (47 mm). No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 8.7 inches (222 mm). The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision event. The vehicle
came to rest 187.6 ft (57.2 m) downstream of impact and 12.5 ft (3.8 m) forward of the face of the rail. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 21.6 ft/s (6.6 m/s), and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –5.6 g’s. Exit angle at loss of contact was 10.8 degrees, which was 44 percent of the impact angle.

Test 442882-4 (NCHRP Report 350 test 3-10)

The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the small car. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was not measurable. No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 1.0 inches (25 mm) in the left front firewall area and the left side kickpanel area. The small car remained upright during and after the collision event. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 16.7 ft/s (5.1 m/s) and lateral occupant impact velocity was 25.6 ft/s (7.8 m/s). Longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was –2.3 g’s, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 10.0 g’s. The vehicle came to rest 202.7 ft (61.8 m) downstream of impact and 87.6 ft (26.7 m) forward of the traffic face of the rail. Exit angle at loss of contact was 12.1 degrees, which was 60 percent of the impact angle.

CONCLUSIONS

As shown in Table 1, the first test on the F411 bridge rail did not meet the requirements for occupant risk for NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11. The modified F411 bridge rail did meet the required specifications for NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11, as summarized in Table 2.

The T77 bridge rail did not meet the occupant risk requirements for NCHRP Report 350 test 3-11 due to excessive occupant compartment deformation; however, the T77 bridge rail did perform acceptably during NCHRP Report 350 test 3-10. Tables 3 and 4 summarize the evaluation of these two tests on the TxDOT T77 bridge rail.

IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT

TTI researchers recommend implementation of the use of the modified F411 bridge rail as per the design used in the second crash test. The rail had been modified by enclosing the open space beneath the lower rail face with concrete to make it flush with the lower rail. Enclosing the bottom of the rail increased the effective surface contact area of the installation.

TTI researchers and TxDOT personnel will pursue development of modifications to ensure the T77 bridge rail performs in accordance with the evaluation criteria of NCHRP Report 350. Tentatively, modifications include improvement in the rail splice connection and increased wall thickness of the rail member. One additional NCHRP Report 350 crash test (3-11) will be required to evaluate the performance of the T77 bridge rail with these modifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Agency: Texas Transportation Institute</th>
<th>Test No.: 442882-1</th>
<th>Test Date: 05/06/2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria</strong></td>
<td><strong>Test Results</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural Adequacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</td>
<td>The TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupant Risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.</td>
<td>No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum deformation of the occupant compartment was 8.3 inches (210 mm) in the door area.</td>
<td>Fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.</td>
<td>The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle Trajectory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. After collision it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.</td>
<td>The pickup truck came to rest 7.5 ft (2.3 m) behind the traffic face of the bridge rail.</td>
<td>Pass*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.</td>
<td>Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 26.2 ft/s (8.0 m/s), and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.0 g’s.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with test device.</td>
<td>Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 7.3 degrees, which is 29 percent of the impact angle.</td>
<td>Pass*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criterion K and M are preferable, not required.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural Adequacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</td>
<td>The modified TxDOT F411 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. No measurable deflection occurred.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupant Risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.</td>
<td>No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present. Deformation of the occupant compartment was 4.6 inches (118 mm) in the instrument panel area and 4.1 inches (105 mm) in the firewall area. The factory-installed opening, which accommodates the manual transmission floor shift, tore at the forward and rear corners, increasing the opening 7.1 inches (180 mm) long and 6.3 inches (160 mm) wide (original dimensions of opening were 5.6 inches x 5.6 inches [143 mm x 143 mm]). No other separation in the floor pan or toe pan was noted.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.</td>
<td>The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision period.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle Trajectory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. After collision it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.</td>
<td>The pickup truck came to rest 221.4 ft (67.5 m) downstream of impact and 15.6 ft (4.8 m) forward of the traffic face of the bridge rail.</td>
<td>Fail*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.</td>
<td>Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 24.6 ft/s (7.5 m/s), and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –6.0 g’s.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with test device.</td>
<td>Exit angle at loss of contact with the bridge rail was 4.5 degrees, which is 17 percent of the impact angle.</td>
<td>Pass*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criterion K and M are preferable, not required.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Agency: Texas Transportation Institute</th>
<th>Test No.: 442882-3</th>
<th>Test Date: 08/23/2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCHRP Report 350 Test 3-11 Evaluation Criteria</strong></td>
<td><strong>Test Results</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural Adequacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</td>
<td>The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the pickup truck. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the bridge rail. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was 1.9 inches (47 mm).</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupant Risk</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.</td>
<td>No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 8.7 inches (222 mm).</td>
<td>Fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.</td>
<td>The pickup truck remained upright during and after the collision event.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle Trajectory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. After collision it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.</td>
<td>The vehicle came to rest 187.6 ft (57.2 m) downstream of impact and 12.5 ft (3.8 m) forward of the face of the rail.</td>
<td>Pass*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. The occupant impact velocity in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 12 m/s, and the occupant ridedown acceleration in the longitudinal direction should not exceed 20 g’s.</td>
<td>Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 21.6 ft/s (6.6 m/s) and longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –5.6 g’s.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with test device.</td>
<td>Exit angle at loss of contact was 10.8 degrees, which was 44 percent of the impact angle.</td>
<td>Pass*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criterion K and M are preferable, not required.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Adequacy</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Test article should contain and redirect the vehicle; the vehicle should not penetrate, underride, or override the installation although controlled lateral deflection of the test article is acceptable.</td>
<td>The TxDOT T77 bridge rail contained and redirected the small car. The vehicle did not penetrate, underride, or override the installation. Maximum dynamic deflection of the rail was not measurable.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupant Risk</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.</td>
<td>No detached elements, fragments, or other debris were present to penetrate or to show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or to present undue hazard to others in the area. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 1.0 inch (25 mm) in the left front firewall area and the left side kickpanel area.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.</td>
<td>The small car remained upright during and after the collision event.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:</td>
<td>Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 16.7 ft/s (5.1 m/s), and lateral occupant impact velocity was 25.6 ft/s (7.8 m/s).</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal and lateral</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following:</td>
<td>Longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was –2.3 g’s, and lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 10.0 g’s.</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g’s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal and lateral</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Trajectory</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle’s trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.</td>
<td>The vehicle came to rest 202.7 ft (61.8 m) downstream of impact and 87.6 ft (26.7 m) forward of the traffic face.</td>
<td>Fail*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. The exit angle from the test article preferably should be less than 60 percent of test impact angle, measured at time of vehicle loss of contact with test device.</td>
<td>Exit angle at loss of contact was 12.1 degrees, which was 60 percent of the impact angle.</td>
<td>Marginal*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criterion K and M are preferable, not required.
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APPENDIX A. DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR F411 BRIDGE RAIL
Given: 1.) Given the Following Cross Section, Calculate the Total Transverse Resistance ($R_w$) of the railing based on AASHTO LRFD, Section 13 Specifications:
Rectangular windows used in lieu of Detail 1 as shown.
SUBJECT: TxDOT F411 Bridge Rail

Design / Analysis

CLIENT: TxDOT

SECTION A-A

TRAFFIC SIDE VIEW

BARS W (#3)

BARS U (#5)

BARS S (#5)

SEE DETAIL 1

1' - 6" WINDOW SPACING (TYP.)
2.) Given the Following Design Data:

28 day compressive strength of the rail concrete: 

\[ f'c = 3600 \text{ psi} \]

28 day compressive strength of the slab concrete: 

\[ f'c_{slab} = 4000 \text{ psi} \]

Width of the Post Section 

\[ b_{post} = 11 \text{ in} \]

Post Thickness \( t_{post} \): 

\[ t_{post} = 10 \text{ in} \]

Concrete Clear Cover: 

\[ \text{Bar Diapost} = \frac{5}{8} \text{ in} \]

Effective Depth of the Wall rebar \( d_{wall} \): 

\[ d_{post} := t_{post} - \text{cover}_{post} - 0.5 \left( \text{Bar Diapost} \right) \]

\[ d_{post} = 8 \text{ in} \]

Yield Strength of the Reinforcing Steel \( F_y \): 

\[ F_y = 60 \text{ ksi} \]

Total Area of Steel in the Tension layer of each post: \( 2 \sim \#5's \): 

\[ A_{s_{post}} = 2 \left( \frac{0.31}{\text{in}^2} \right) \]

\[ A_{s_{post}} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \]

Concrete Factor for 3600 *psi Concrete: 

\[ \beta_1 = 0.85 \]

Unit Weight of Concrete: 

\[ \gamma_{concrete} = 145 \text{pcf} \]

Calculate \( d_{rail} \) (neglect \( 1 \sim \#5 \) in compression face) and determine weighted average for \( 2 \sim \#5 \) in Tension distances of each bar from compression face determined graphically in AutoCad: 

\[ d_{rail} := \frac{12.875 \text{ in} + 9.25 \text{ in}}{2} \]

\[ d_{rail} = 11.06 \text{ in} \]

Thickness of Rail(s): 

\[ b_{rail} := 6 \text{ in} \]
3. Calculate the Nominal Strength of the Post:

2~#5's in Post @ 6" O.C.

3a.) Calculate "ρ":

\[ \rho_{post} = \frac{A_{stpost}}{b_{post} \cdot d_{post}} \]

\[ A_{stpost} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \quad b_{post} = 11 \text{ in} \quad d_{post} = 8 \text{ in} \]

\[ \rho_{post} = \frac{0.62\text{ in}^2}{11\text{ in} \cdot 8\text{ in}} = 0.00705 \]

3b.) Calculate "ρ_min":

\[ \rho_{min} := \frac{200\text{ psi}}{F_y} \]

\[ f'_c = 3600 \text{ psi} \]

\[ F_y = 60 \text{ ksi} \]

\[ \rho_{min1} := \frac{200\text{ psi}}{60\text{ ksi}} = 0.00333 \]

\[ \rho_{min2} := \frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f'_c}}{F_y} \]

\[ \rho_{min2} = \frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{3600\text{ psi}}}{60\text{ ksi}} = 0.003 \]

Use ρ_{min1}.... Therefore ρ_{post} > ρ_{min1} ... O.K. !

3c.) Calculate ρ_{bal} for the Post:

\[ \rho_{bal} := \beta_1 \left( \frac{0.85 \cdot f'_c}{F_y} \right) \left( \frac{87000 \text{ psi}}{87000 \cdot \text{psi} + F_y} \right) \]

\[ \rho_{bal} = 0.03 \quad 0.75 \cdot \rho_{bal} = 0.0192 \]

3d.) Check to make sure ρ_{post} is less than or equal to 0.75ρ_{bal}:

\[ \rho_{post} \text{ is between } \rho_{min1} \text{ and } 0.75\rho_{bal} \text{ therefore ... O.K. !} \]
3e.) Calculate "a" .... the height of the rectangular stress block:

Given:  
A_{stpost} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2  
F_y = 60 \text{ ksi}  
f'c = 3.6 \text{ ksi}  
b_{post} = 11 \text{ in}  

Therefore:

\[ a_{post} := \frac{A_{stpost} \cdot F_y}{0.85 \cdot f'c \cdot b_{post}} \]

\[ a_{post} = 1.11 \text{ in} \]

3f.) Calculate the Nominal moment Capacity of the Post:

Given:  
d_{post} := 8\text{in}  
A_{stpost} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2  
F_y = 60 \text{ ksi}  
a_{post} = 1.11 \text{ in}  

\[ M_{npost} := A_{stpost} \cdot F_y \left( d_{post} - \frac{a_{post}}{2} \right) \]

\[ M_{npost} = 23.09 \text{ kip\*ft} \]

..... say 23 kip*ft each post
4.) Calculate the Nominal Strength of the Two Rails (use geometry of Top rail as worst case):

4a.) Calculate \( \rho \):

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{\text{strail}} &= 2 \cdot 0.31 \text{in}^2 \\
b_{\text{rail}} &= 6 \text{ in} \\
d_{\text{rail}} &= 11.06 \text{ in}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\rho_{\text{rail}} := \frac{A_{\text{strail}}}{b_{\text{rail}} \cdot d_{\text{rail}}} \\
\rho_{\text{rail}} &= 0.00934
\]

4b.) Calculate \( \rho_{\text{min}} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\text{min1}} &:= \frac{200 \cdot \text{psi}}{F_y} \\
\rho_{\text{min1}} &= 0.00333
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
f'_c &= 3600 \text{ psi} \\
F_y &= 60 \text{ ksi} \\
\rho_{\text{min2}} &:= \frac{3 \cdot \sqrt{f'_c \cdot \text{psi}}}{F_y} \\
\rho_{\text{min2}} &= 0.003
\end{align*}
\]

Use \( \rho_{\text{min1}} \). Therefore \( \rho_{\text{rail}} > \rho_{\text{min1}} \ldots \text{O.K.} ! \)

4c.) Calculate \( \rho_{\text{bal}} \) for the Rails:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\text{bal}} &= \beta_1 \left( 0.85 \cdot \frac{f'_c}{F_y} \right) \left( \frac{87000 \cdot \text{psi}}{87000 \cdot \text{psi} + F_y} \right) \\
\rho_{\text{bal}} &= 0.03 \\
0.75 \cdot \rho_{\text{bal}} &= 0.0192
\end{align*}
\]

4d.) Check to make sure \( \rho_{\text{post}} \) is less than or equal to \( 0.75 \rho_{\text{bal}} \):

\( \rho_{\text{post}} \) is between \( \rho_{\text{min1}} \) and \( 0.75 \rho_{\text{bal}} \) therefore \ldots \text{O.K.} !
4e.) Calculate "a" ..... the height of the rectangular stress block:

Given:

\[ A_{\text{strail}} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ F_y = 60 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ f'c = 3.6 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ b_{\text{rail}} = 6 \text{ in} \]

Therefore:

\[ a_{\text{rail}} := \frac{A_{\text{strail}} \cdot F_y}{0.85 \cdot f'c \cdot b_{\text{rail}}} \]

\[ a_{\text{rail}} = 2.03 \text{ in} \]

4f.) Calculate the Nominal moment Capacity of the Rails:

Given:

\[ d_{\text{rail}} = 11.06 \text{ in} \]
\[ A_{\text{strail}} = 0.62 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ F_y = 60 \text{ ksi} \]
\[ a_{\text{rail}} = 2.03 \text{ in} \]

\[ M_{\text{strail}} := A_{\text{strail}} \cdot F_y \left( d_{\text{rail}} - \frac{a_{\text{rail}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ M_{\text{strail}} = 31.15 \text{ kip} \cdot \text{ft} \]

..... say 31 kip*ft each post
4.) Check the Capacity of the Railing using AASHTO LRFD Section 13:

\[ M_p := M_{nrail} \cdot 2 \quad M_p = 62.31 \text{ kip ft} \]
\[ P_p := \frac{M_{npost}}{27\text{ in}} \]
\[ L := 1.5\text{ ft} \quad \text{Post Spacing in Feet} \]
\[ L_t := 3.5\text{ ft} \]

4a.) Calculate Capacity for a Single Span: \( N := 1 \)
\[ R_1 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t} \]
\[ R_1 = 1993.8 \text{ kips} \]

4b.) Calculate Capacity for a Double Span: \( N := 2 \)
\[ R_2 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N^2) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t} \]
\[ R_2 = 423.4 \text{ kips} \]

4c.) Calculate Capacity for 3 Post Spans: \( N := 3 \)
\[ R_3 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t} \]
\[ R_3 = 203.6 \text{ kips} \]
4d.) Calculate Capacity for 4 Post Spans:  \( N : 4 \)

\[
R_4 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + \left( N^2 \right) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\[ R_4 = 146.3 \text{ kips} \]

4e.) Calculate Capacity for 5 Post Spans:  \( N : 5 \)

\[
R_5 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\[ R_5 = 118.8 \text{ kips} \]

4f.) Calculate Capacity for 6 Post Spans:  \( N : 6 \)

\[
R_6 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + \left( N^2 \right) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\[ R_6 = 107 \text{ kips} \]

4g.) Calculate Capacity for 7 Post Spans:  \( N : 7 \)

\[
R_7 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\[ R_7 = 99.2 \text{ kips} \]

4h.) Calculate Capacity for 8 Post Spans:  \( N : 8 \)

\[
R_8 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + \left( N^2 \right) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\[ R_8 = 96.7 \text{ kips} \]
4i.) Calculate Capacity for 9 Post Spans: \( N := 9 \)

\[
R_9 := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\( R_9 = 94.8 \text{kips} \)

\[
R_{9at32} := \frac{R_9 \cdot 27\text{in}}{32\text{in}}
\]

\( R_{9at32} = 80 \text{kips} \)

4j.) Calculate Capacity for 10 Post Spans: \( N := 10 \)

\[
R_{10} := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + \left( N^2 \right) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\( R_{10} = 95.7 \text{kips} \)

4k.) Calculate Capacity for 11 Post Spans: \( N := 11 \)

\[
R_{11} := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\( R_{11} = 96.4 \text{kips} \)

4l.) Calculate Capacity for 12 Post Spans: \( N := 12 \)

\[
R_{12} := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + \left( N^2 \right) \cdot P_p \cdot L}{(2 \cdot N \cdot L) - L_t}
\]

\( R_{12} = 98.9 \text{kips} \)
5.) Check Nominal Strength of the 8-inch Thick Slab:

Given:
\[ d_{slab} = 8\text{in} - 2\text{in} - \frac{5}{16}\text{in} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(slab thickness-cover-1/2 bar diameter)}
\[ d_{slab} = 5.69\text{in} \]
\[ A_{stslab} = .62\text{in}^2 \]
\[ F_y = 60\text{ksi} \]
\[ f'_{cslab} = 4 \times 10^3 \text{psi} \]
\[ b_{slab} = 12\text{in} \]

Therefore:
\[ a_{slab} = \frac{A_{stslab} F_y}{0.85 f'_{cslab} b_{slab}} \]
\[ a_{slab} = 0.91\text{in} \]
\[ M_{nslab} = A_{stslab} F_y \left( d_{slab} - \frac{a_{slab}}{2} \right) \]
\[ M_{nslab} = 16.22\text{kip}\cdot\text{ft} \]

..... say 13.50 kip*ft per foot of slab width
6.) Check Nominal Strength of the T7 @ "U" Bar Connection:
Use "U" Bar Spacing @ 9 inches on centers

\[ d_{\text{Ubars}} := 3\text{in} + 4.25\text{in} + \frac{5}{16}\text{in} \]

Given:
\[ A_{\text{stUbars}} := \frac{(12 \cdot 0.31 \cdot \text{in}^2)}{9} \] #5's @ 9" O.C.
\[ F_y = 60 \text{ksi} \]
\[ f'c = 3600 \text{psi} \]
\[ b_{T7} := 12\text{in} \]

Therefore:
\[ a_{\text{Ubars}} := \frac{A_{\text{stUbars}} \cdot F_y}{0.85 \cdot f'c \cdot b_{T7}} \]
\[ a_{\text{Ubars}} = 0.68\text{in} \]

\[ M_{\text{nUbars}} := A_{\text{stUbars}} \cdot F_y \left( d_{\text{Ubars}} - \frac{a_{\text{Ubars}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ M_{\text{nUbars}} = 14.93 \text{kip}\cdot\text{ft} \] ..... say 12.7 kip*ft per foot of Rail Length

\[ M_{\text{nslab}} = 16.22 \text{kip}\cdot\text{ft} \]

The nominal Strength of the "U" Bars is very close to the strength of the slab.... O.K.!
7.) Check Nominal Vertical Strength per foot of Rail

Use "U" Bar Spacing @ 9 inches on centers

\[ t_{\text{beam}} := 6\text{in} \]
\[ d_{\text{beam}} := t_{\text{beam}} - 1.5\text{in} - \frac{3}{16}\text{in} \]
\[ d_{\text{beam}} = 4.31\text{in} \]

Given:
\[ A_{\text{st,beam}} = \left(2 \cdot 1.1\cdot \text{in}^2\right) \quad \#3's \ @ \ 6'' \ O.C. \]
\[ F_y = 60 \text{ksi} \]
\[ f'c = 3600 \text{psi} \]
\[ b_{\text{beam}} := 12\text{in} \]

Therefore:
\[ a_{\text{beam}} := \frac{A_{\text{st,beam}} \cdot F_y}{0.85 \cdot f'c \cdot b_{\text{beam}}} \]
\[ a_{\text{beam}} = 0.36\text{in} \]

\[ M_{\text{n,beam}} := A_{\text{st,beam}} \cdot F_y \left( d_{\text{beam}} - \frac{a_{\text{beam}}}{2} \right) \]

\[ M_{\text{n,beam}} = 4.55\text{kip}\cdot\text{ft} \quad \text{..... say 4.6 kip*ft per foot of Rail Length} \]

\[ F_y := \frac{18\text{kip}}{18\text{ft}} \quad F_v = 1\frac{\text{kips}}{\text{ft}} \]

\[ M_{\text{n,beam required}} := \frac{r_{\text{V,om}}}{\text{ft}} \]

\[ M_{\text{n,beam required}} = 0.5\frac{\text{kips}}{\text{ft}} \quad << M_{\text{n,beam}} \text{ .... Vertical Rail strength o.k. !!!!} \]
APPENDIX B. DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR T77 BRIDGE RAIL
SUBJECT  Texas Type T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail  
AASHTO LRFD Strength Analysis  

CLIENT  Texas Department of Transportation  

1.) Given:  
   The following T77 Aesthetic Steel Bridge Rail:  

   Find:  Check Bridge Rail Design to Meet TL-4 Requirements w/ 8-ft Post Spacing:  

---

[Diagram showing the bridge rail design with dimensions and notes]
2.) Given Input Data & Design Information:

********** AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, Interim 2000, TL-3 Cond. **********

\[ L_t \approx 4.0 \text{ ft} \] Longitudinal Length of Distribution of Impact Force (ft.), for TL-3 Conditions

\[ F_t \approx 54 \text{kips} \] Transverse Force specified in Table A13.2-1, TL-3 Conditions.

\[ F_v \approx 4.5 \text{kips} \] Vertical Force on Rail

\[ L_L \approx 4.0 \text{ ft} \] Length of Longitudinal Force on Rail

\[ L_v \approx 18 \text{ ft} \] Length of Vertical Force on Rail

********************************************************************************** Bridge Rail Properties **********************************************************************************

\[ L \approx 8 \text{ ft} \] ... Post Spacing (ft.)

\[ \text{Postthk} = 1.25 \text{in} \] Thickness of the post plate, inches

\[ d_{post} = 8.0 \text{in} \] Width @ Post Base

\[ \text{BaseplateThk} = 1.25 \text{in} \] Thickness of Post Baseplate, inches

\[ F_{ypost} = 50 \text{ksi} \] Yield Strength of Post Plate Steel (ksi)
**Concrete & Reinforcing Steel Properties**

\[ f'_c := \text{Compressive Strength of Concrete (psi)} \]
\[ f_y := \text{Yield Strength of Concrete Reinforcing Steel, (ksi)} \]
\[ \phi := 0.9 \quad \text{Concrete Strength Reduction Factor} \]

**Anchor Bolt Properties**

\[ \text{Diabolt} := \frac{7}{8} \text{ in.} \quad \text{Dia. of Anchor Bolts, (in.)} \]
\[ n_t := 2 \quad \text{Number of Anchor Bolts in tension} \]
\[ n_s := 4 \quad \text{Number of Anchor Bolts in Shear} \]

\[ \text{Area}_{\text{bolt}} := 0.25 \cdot \pi \cdot \text{Diabolt}^2 \quad \text{Fu}_{A325} := 120\text{ksi} \quad \text{Ten. Strength of A325 Bolt Mat., (ksi)} \]

**6-inch Pipe Rail Properties**

Pipe Choices:
1.) 6" Dia., A53 Grade "B" Pipe, Schedule 40 Pipe, wall thickness = 0.280"
2.) 6" Dia. API-5LX52 Pipe, wall thickness = 0.188"

\[ t_{\text{wall}} := 0.188\text{in} \]
\[ \text{Rail}_{\text{VertOD}} := 4.875\text{in} \]
\[ \text{Rail}_{\text{horOD}} := 8\text{in} \]
\[ E_s := 29000\text{ksi} \]
\[ F_{\text{yrail}} := 52\text{ksi} \]
\[ f := 1.27 \quad \text{Shape Factor for Tube Shape} \]
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**AutoCad & Risa Files & Misc. Information**

File Locations:

1.) AutoCad File: T:\2001-2002\442882\T77\T77(Final)
2.) 3-Post Risa 3D Model: T77rev3-3Posts.r3d
3.) 1-Post Risa 3D Model for anchor bolt forces: T77rev3-1Post.r3d
3.) Calculate the Ultimate Moment Capacity of the Rail, $M_{p6in}$:

Elliptical Rail Dimensions:

\[ a := 4 \text{ in} \quad b := 4.875 \text{ in} \cdot 0.5 \]
\[ t_{\text{wall}} = 0.188 \text{ in} \]

\[ I_{x1} := \pi \cdot a \cdot (b)^3 \cdot 0.25 \quad I_{x2} := \pi \cdot (a - t_{\text{wall}}) \cdot (b - t_{\text{wall}})^3 \cdot 0.25 \]
\[ I_x := I_{x1} - I_{x2} \quad I_x = 11.42 \text{ in}^4 \]

\[ I_{y1} := \pi \cdot a^3 \cdot b \cdot 0.25 \quad I_{y2} := \pi \cdot (a - t_{\text{wall}})^3 \cdot (b - t_{\text{wall}}) \cdot 0.25 \]
\[ I_y := I_{y1} - I_{y2} \quad I_y = 24.66 \text{ in}^4 \]

\[ S_{\text{Xrail}} := \frac{I_x}{b} \quad S_{\text{Xrail}} = 4.68 \text{ in}^3 \]
\[ S_{\text{Yrail}} := \frac{I_y}{a} \quad S_{\text{Yrail}} = 6.16 \text{ in}^3 \]

\[ S_{\text{Yrail}} = 6.16 \text{ in}^3 \quad Z_{\text{Yrail}} := S_{\text{Yrail}} \cdot f \quad Z_{\text{Yrail}} = 7.83 \text{ in}^3 \]

Plastic Sections Modulus for Elliptical-Shaped Tube Rail

Therefore:

\[ M_{p6in} := F_{\text{Yrail}} \cdot Z_{\text{Yrail}} \]

\[ M_{p6in} = 33.92 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \quad \ldots \text{each Rail} \]

\[ J_o := \pi \cdot a \cdot b \left( a^2 + b^2 \right) - \left[ \pi \cdot (a - t_{\text{wall}}) \cdot (b - t_{\text{wall}}) \cdot \left( (a - t_{\text{wall}})^2 + (b - t_{\text{wall}})^2 \right) \right] \]
\[ J_o = 144.29 \text{ in}^4 \]

Check Bending from vertical 18-kip distributed load for TL-4...... assume simply supported ends over 10-ft span:

\[ M_{\text{maxv}} := \frac{w \cdot (l)^2}{8} \quad M_{\text{maxv}} = 12.5 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \]

\[ \text{SR}_V := \frac{M_{\text{maxv}}}{S_{\text{Xrail}}} \quad \text{SR}_V = 0.62 \quad \ldots \text{O.K.} < 1.0 \ !!!!! \]
Therefore:

\[ P_{Post1} = 54 \text{kips} \]

However, from RISA-3D Analysis with combined stresses, ultimate plastic force appears to be near 54 kips.

Therefore:

\[ P_{Post1} := 54 \text{kips} \]
5.) Calculate the Nominal Strengths of a bolt in Tension & Shear:

\[ F_{uA325} = 120 \text{ ksi} \quad \text{Diabolt} = 0.88 \text{ in} \quad \text{Area}_{\text{bolt}} = 0.6 \text{ in}^2 \]

\[(0.75) \quad \phi_t = 1.0 \quad \phi_{tRnt} := \phi_t \cdot F_{uA325} \cdot (0.75 \cdot \text{Area}_{\text{bolt}}) \]

\[ \phi_{tRnt} = 54.12 \text{ kips} \]

\[ \phi_v := 1.0 \quad (0.65 \text{ LRFD}) \quad m := 1 \quad \ldots \# \text{ of shear planes per bolt} \]

\[ \phi_{vR_{nv}} := \phi_v \cdot (0.60 \cdot F_{uA325}) \cdot m \cdot \text{Area}_{\text{bolt}} \]

\[ \phi_{vR_{nv}} = 43.3 \text{ kips} \]

6.) Calculate the Post Strength @ Max. Bolt Strength \( (P_{\text{Post2}}) \sim \) Shear & Tension Forces in the bolts for Stress Ratio = 1.0 or slightly greater:

\begin{align*}
\text{Ultimate Transverse Load Resistance of Single Post Based on Strength of Tension Bolts} & \quad \text{Max. Shear Force on Bolts from RISA-3D} \\
\textbf{P}_{\text{Post2}} := 54\text{kips} & \quad \text{Tension Force on Bolts from Risa} \\
R_{uv} := 19.1\text{kips} & \quad R_{ut} := 55.725\text{kips}
\end{align*}

\[ \phi_{tRnt} = 54.12 \text{ kips} \quad \text{Ultimate Tension Strength of Bolts} \]

\[ \phi_{vR_{nv}} = 43.3 \text{ kips} \quad \text{Ultimate Shear Strength of Bolts} \]

\[ \text{StressRatio} := \left( \frac{R_{ut}}{\phi_{tRnt}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{R_{uv}}{\phi_{vR_{nv}}} \right)^2 \]

\[ \text{StressRatio} = 1.25 \quad \text{o.k.... Use 7/8-in Dia. A325 Bolts} \]

7.) Calculate the Punching Shear capacity from Post \( (P_{\text{Post3}}) \) (From Separate RISA Analysis):

\[
P_{\text{Post3}} := 27 \text{kips}
\]

Force\(_{\text{Node338}}\) := 6.733kips

Bearing\(_{\text{area}}\) := 1.66in\(\cdot\) (1in)

Punching\(_{\text{shear}}\) := \(\frac{\text{Force}_{\text{Node338}}}{\text{Bearing}_{\text{area}}}\)

\[
\text{Punching}_{\text{shear}} = 4.06 \text{ksi} \quad \ldots \text{probably acceptable!}
\]

Calculate Concrete Spring Constant (K/in):

\[
E_c := 57000 \sqrt{\frac{4000 \text{psi}}{\text{psi}}} \quad A := 1.667\text{in} \cdot 1.0\text{in} \quad A = 1.67\text{in}^2
\]

\[
\Delta := \frac{P \cdot L_{\text{spring}}}{A \cdot E_c} \quad \Delta = 0.0001 \text{ft}
\]

\[
P = 0.6 \text{ksi} \quad K := \frac{P}{\Delta} \quad K = 858504.17 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{in}} \quad \text{Say .... 850 kip/in!!}
\]

Calculate Anchor Bolt Spring Constant (K/in):

\[
E_s = 2.9 \times 10^7 \text{ psi} \quad \text{Area}_{\text{bolt}} = 0.6 \text{in}^2 \quad L_{\text{bolt}} := 10\text{in} \quad P_{\text{unitload}} := 1000\text{lb}
\]

\[
\Delta_{\text{bolt}} := \frac{P \cdot L_{\text{bolt}}}{\text{Area}_{\text{bolt}} \cdot E_s} \quad \Delta_{\text{bolt}} = 0.00057 \text{in}
\]

\[
K := \frac{P_{\text{unitload}}}{\Delta_{\text{bolt}}} \quad K = 1743.8 \frac{\text{kips}}{\text{in}} \quad \text{Say .... 1700 kip/in!!}
\]
8.) Lateral Block Shear Failure Due to Bolt Shear ($P_{\text{Post4}}$):

Reference: Specification for the Design of Anchor Bolts (Rev. 0) S&B ES-3140
From Figure 4 ~ "Shear Cone for Overlapping Cones"
Calculate the Block Shear Failure Area:

\[ \text{Area}_1 := 7.9375\text{in} \cdot 8\text{in} \]

\[ \text{Area}_2 := 7.9375\text{in} \cdot 7.9375\text{in} \]

\[ A_{sf\text{c}} := \text{Area}_1 + \text{Area}_2 \quad A_{sf\text{c}} = 126.5\text{in}^2 \]

\[ \sigma_{tension} := 4 \sqrt{f'_c \cdot \text{psi}} \]

\[ V_{cr} := \sigma_{tension} \cdot A_{sf\text{c}} \]

\[ V_{cr} = 30.36\text{kips} \quad V_{cr} \text{ is for 2 bolts... force necessary to shear concrete in Side View above} \]

Therefore Force on Post to cause Block Shear failure =

\[ P_{\text{Post4}} := 2 \cdot V_{cr} \]

This is the Shear on all 4 Bolts!

\[ P_{\text{Post4}} = 60.72\text{kips} \quad \text{Limited Strength of Post based on Shear failure of Concrete} \]
9.) Calculate Post Strength Based on Anchor Bolt Pullout ($P_{Post5}$):

Based on the diagram above the pullout capacity of the two anchor bolts in tension is controlled by a 45 degree cone failure radiating from the head of the bolts upward. This area is one full circular cone (1/2 cone @ each end with rectangular side areas at 45 degrees between the bolts).

\[ L_c := 6.125 \text{in} \quad \text{depth of the anchor bolts} \]

\[ A_{tfc} := \pi \cdot \left( L_c \right)^2 \cdot 1.00 \]

Surface area of a circular cone at a depth of $L_c$ with 10% reduction for limited side cover and surface slope. However, increase 10% for rebar.... therefore no reduction

\[ \text{Area}_3 := 5.1875 \text{in} \cdot \text{8in} \]

\[ \text{Area}_4 := 6.9375 \text{in} \cdot \text{8in} \]

\[ V_{cr\text{tension}} := \sigma_{\text{tension}} \cdot \left( A_{tfc} + \text{Area}_3 + \text{Area}_4 \right) \]

\[ V_{cr\text{tension}} = 51.57 \text{kips} \]

This is the ultimate tension capacity of two tension bolts at bolt pullout

Return to Risa Model and determine the uniform loading on bolt rails to produce a combined tension on front two bolts of approx. 38 kips

From Risa-3D analysis for a single Post (T77rev3-1Post.r3d):

\[ P_{Post5} := 26 \text{kips} \]
10.) Post Strength ($P_{Post6}$) Based on strength of Stirrups in Curb (#5 @ 6” O.C.):

$$d_{curb} = 14.0\text{in} - 2\text{in} - 0.3125\text{in}$$

$$b_{curb} = 20.0\text{in}$$

See Detail above for limits of cone

Tension Failure

With #5 @ 6” O.C max:

$$n_{bars} := \frac{b_{curb}}{6\text{in}}$$

$$n_{bars} = 3$$

$$A_{s\text{stirrups}} := n_{bars} \cdot 0.31\text{in}^2$$

$$H_R := H_{tp} + \text{Baseplate Thk} + 7.5\text{in}$$

$$f_y = 60\text{ksi}$$

$$a_{curb} := \frac{A_{s\text{stirrups}} \cdot f_y}{0.85 \cdot f'c \cdot b_{curb}}$$

$$A_{s\text{stirrups}} = 1.03\text{in}^2$$

$$a_{curb} = 1.01\text{in}$$

$$\phi_{curb} := 1.0$$

$$M_{ucurb} := \phi_{curb} \cdot A_{s\text{stirrups}} \cdot f_y \left( d_{curb} - \frac{a_{curb}}{2} \right)$$

$$M_{ucurb} = 57.77\text{kips ft}$$

$$H_R = 24.25\text{in}$$

$$P_{Post6} := \frac{M_{ucurb}}{H_R}$$

$$P_{Post6} = 28.59\text{kips}$$

Limited Post Strength based on #5 Stirrups @ 6 inches on center.
11.) Determine Limiting Load Case on Posts (@ midpoint between rails where applic.):

\[ P_{Post1} = 54 \text{ kips} \quad \text{... Plastic Strength of Posts} \]

\[ P_{Post2} = 54 \text{ kips} \quad \text{..... Post Strength Due to Tension/Shear on Bolts} \]

\[ P_{Post3} = 27 \text{ kips} \quad \text{..... Post Strength Due to Punching Shear in Concrete from Post Baseplate} \]

\[ P_{Post4} = 60.72 \text{ kips} \quad \text{.... Post Strength due to max. Shear Force to cause shear failure in curb} \]

\[ P_{Post5} = 26 \text{ kips} \quad \text{..... Post Strength due to Anchor Bolt Tension Cone Failure in Concrete} \]

\[ P_{Post6} = 28.59 \text{ kips} \quad \text{..... Post Strength due to Curb reinforcing w/ #5 Stirrups @ 6" O.C.} \]

Use \( P_{Post5} \) Strength as "worst case" in Analyses!

12.) Determine Total Rail Resistance of Rail for Single Span:

\[ \text{Post Spacing} \quad L_t = 4 \text{ ft} \quad L = 8 \text{ ft} \]

\[ P_{Post} = P_{Post5} \quad \text{Limiting Post Strength @ Baseplate} \]

\[ P_{Post} = 26000 \text{ lb} \quad M_p = M_{p6in \cdot 2} \quad M_p = 67.84 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \]

\[ N := 1 \quad \text{..... Single Span Check} \]

\[ R_{1span} := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_{Post} \cdot L}{2 \cdot N \cdot L - L_t} \]

\[ R_{1span} = 90.46 \text{ kips} \]

13.) Determine Total Rail Resistance of Rail for Double Span:

\[ N := 2 \quad \text{..... Double Span w/ Load applied @ Post} \]

\[ M_p = 67.84 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \quad P_{Post} = 26 \text{ kips} \quad L = 8 \text{ ft} \]

\[ R_{2span} := \frac{16 \cdot M_p + N^2 \cdot P_{Post} \cdot L}{2 \cdot N \cdot L - L_t} \]

\[ R_{2span} = 68.48 \text{ kips} \]
14.) Determine Total Rail Resistance of Rail for Triple Span:

\[ M_p = 67.84 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \quad P_{Post} = 26 \text{ kips} \]

Limiting Post Strength @ Baseplate

\[ N = 3 \quad \text{..... Three Span Check} \]

\[ R_{3span} = \frac{16 \cdot M_p + (N - 1) \cdot (N + 1) \cdot P_{Post} \cdot L}{2 \cdot N \cdot L - L_t} \]

\[ R_{3span} = 62.49 \text{ kips} \quad \text{Therefore worst case is three Span Condition} \]

15.) Determine Total Rail Resistance of Rail for Quad Span:

\[ N = 4 \quad \text{..... Double Span w/ Load applied @ Post} \]

\[ M_p = 67.84 \text{ kips} \cdot \text{ft} \quad P_{Post} = 26 \text{ kips} \quad L = 8 \text{ ft} \]

\[ R_{2span} = \frac{16 \cdot M_p + N^2 \cdot P_{Post} \cdot L}{2 \cdot N \cdot L - L_t} \]

\[ R_{2span} = 73.56 \text{ kips} \]
In Summary: The TxDOT "T77" Rail meets the requirements for TL-3 Loading Conditions w/ 8-ft post spacing.

Therefore use 1.25-inch Thk. Plate for Post...

1.) Max. Long. Translation approx. 0.25 inch & max. transverse translation approx. 0.5 inch.
2.) Max Von Mises Stress in Plate approx. 60 ksi. Therefore use 1.25-inch Thk. Plate for Post... o.k.!

16.) Determine Total Rail Resistance at the Resultant Heights of 27 & 32 inches:

\[ H_R = 24.25 \text{ in} \quad \text{Height from pavement surface to midpoint between rails (in.)} \]

****** Resultant @ 27-inch Height ******  
\[ H_{27} : = 27 \text{ in} \]
\[ R_{\text{bar}27} : = \frac{R_{3\text{span}} \cdot H_R}{H_{27}} \]
\[ R_{\text{bar}27} = 56.12 \text{ kips} \]

****** Resultant @ 32-inch Height ******  
\[ H_{32} : = 32 \text{ in} \]
\[ R_{\text{bar}32} : = \frac{R_{3\text{span}} \cdot H_R}{H_{32}} \]
\[ R_{\text{bar}32} = 47.35 \text{ kips} \]

17.) Check Post Strength & Deflection w/ Longitudinal & Transverse Forces:

From the Mesh for a RISA-3D Plate Model:

18.0-kips Longitudinal Load over 2 rails  
& 54 kips Transverse Load over 2 rails

1.) Max. Long. Translation approx. 0.25 inch & max. transverse translation approx. 0.5 inch.
2.) Max Von Mises Stress in Plate approx. 60 ksi. Therefore use 1.25-inch Thk. Plate for Post... o.k.!

In Summary: The TxDOT "T77" Rail meets the requirements for TL-3 Loading Conditions w/ 8-ft post spacing.
APPENDIX C. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES AND DATA ANALYSIS

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in NCHRP Report 350. Brief descriptions of these procedures are presented as follows.

ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING

The test vehicle was instrumented with three solid-state angular rate transducers to measure roll, pitch, and yaw rates; a triaxial accelerometer near the vehicle center of gravity (c.g.) to measure longitudinal, lateral, and vertical acceleration levels; and a back-up biaxial accelerometer in the rear of the vehicle to measure longitudinal and lateral acceleration levels. These accelerometers were ENDEVCO® Model 2262CA, piezoresistive accelerometers with a +100 g range.

The accelerometers are strain gage type with a linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate transducers are solid state, gas flow units designed for high-“g” service. Signal conditioners and amplifiers in the test vehicle increase the low-level signals to a ±2.5 volt maximum level. The signal conditioners also provide the capability of an R-cal (resistive calibration) or shunt calibration for the accelerometers and a precision voltage calibration for the rate transducers. The electronic signals from the accelerometers and rate transducers are transmitted to a base station by means of a 15-channel, constant-bandwidth, Inter-Range Instrumentation Group (IRIG), FM/FM telemetry link for recording on magnetic tape and for display on a real-time strip chart. Calibration signals from the test vehicle are recorded before the test and immediately afterwards. A crystal-controlled time reference signal is simultaneously recorded with the data. Wooden dowels actuate pressure-sensitive switches on the bumper of the impacting vehicle prior to impact by wooden dowels to indicate the elapsed time over a known distance to provide a measurement of impact velocity. The initial contact also produces an “event” mark on the data record to establish the instant of contact with the installation.

The multiplex of data channels, transmitted on one radio frequency, is received and demultiplexed onto separate tracks of a 28 track, IRIG tape recorder. After the test, the data are played back from the tape machine and digitized. A proprietary software program (WinDigit) converts the analog data from each transducer into engineering units using the R-cal and pre-zero values at 10,000 samples per second per channel. WinDigit also provides SAE J211 class 180 phaseless digital filtering and vehicle impact velocity.

All accelerometers are calibrated annually according to Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) J211 4.6.1 by means of an ENDEVCO® 2901, precision primary vibration standard. This device and its support instruments are returned to the factory annually for a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results are factored into the accuracy of the total data channel, per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are made any time data are suspect.
The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) uses the data from WinDigit to compute occupant/compartment impact velocities, time of occupant/compartment impact after vehicle impact, and the highest 10-ms average ridedown acceleration. WinDigit calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz digital filter, and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP. TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals and then plots: yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate systems being initial impact.

ANTHROPOMORPHIC DUMMY INSTRUMENTATION

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the driver’s position of the 820C vehicle. The dummy was uninstrumented. Use of a dummy in the 2000P vehicle is optional according to NCHRP Report 350 and there was no dummy used in the tests with the 2000P vehicle.

PHOTOGRAPHIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras: one overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point; one placed behind the installation at an angle; and a third placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end. A flashbulb activated by pressure-sensitive tape switches was positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the installation and was visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked motion analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain time-event, displacement, and angular data. A BetaCam, a VHS-format video camera and recorder, and still cameras were used to record and document conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after the test.

TEST VEHICLE PROPULSION AND GUIDANCE

The test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle was tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable was connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground such that the tow vehicle moved away from the test site. A two-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle existed with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was
released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remained free-wheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs, until the vehicle cleared the immediate area of the test site, at which time brakes on the vehicle were activated to bring it to a safe and controlled stop.
Figure 34. Vehicle Properties for Test 442882-1.
Table 5. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 442882-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹</th>
<th>END DAMAGE</th>
<th>SIDE DAMAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>End Damage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeformed end width ______</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner shift: A1 ______</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowing:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 ______</td>
<td></td>
<td>B1 ______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End shift at frame (CDC) (check one)</td>
<td>&lt; 4 inches</td>
<td>B2 ______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 4 inches</td>
<td>X1 ______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowing constant</td>
<td></td>
<td>X2 ______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Measure C₁ to C₆ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIFIC IMPACT NUMBER</th>
<th>PLANE* OF C-MEASUREMENTS</th>
<th>DIRECT DAMAGE</th>
<th>FIELD L**</th>
<th>C₁</th>
<th>C₂</th>
<th>C₃</th>
<th>C₄</th>
<th>C₅</th>
<th>C₆</th>
<th>±D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front bumper</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>+80</td>
<td>+40</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>-230</td>
<td>-400</td>
<td>-650</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000 above ground</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>-1400</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>-230</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>-390</td>
<td>-450</td>
<td>-530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.
Table 6. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 442882-1.

**Truck**

**Occupant Compartment Deformation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BEFORE</th>
<th>AFTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>1205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>1376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>1575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>1415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>1484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 35. Vehicle Properties for Test 442882-2.
Table 7. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 442882-2.

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complete When Applicable</th>
<th>Side Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeformed end width</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner shift: A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End shift at frame (CDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(check one)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowing: B1 X1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 X2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowing constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{X1 + X2}{2} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Measure C1 to C6 from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Impact Number</th>
<th>Plane* of C-Measurements</th>
<th>Direct Damage</th>
<th>Width** (CDC)</th>
<th>Max*** Crush</th>
<th>Field L**</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>±D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Left front bumper</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>810 mm above ground</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>+1520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.
Table 8. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 442882-2.

**Truck**

**Occupant Compartment Deformation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BEFORE</th>
<th>AFTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>1047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td>1313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>1185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td>1395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>1495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>1455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>1575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 36. Vehicle Properties for Test 442882-3.
### Table 9. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 442882-3.

**VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Damage</th>
<th>Side Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undeformed end width ________</td>
<td>Bowing: B1 _____ X1 _____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner shift: A1 ________</td>
<td>B2 _____ X2 _____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 ________</td>
<td>Bowing constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End shift at frame (CDC) (check one)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4 inches ________</td>
<td>$X1 % X2 \frac{1}{2}$,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4 inches ________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Measure $C_1$ to $C_6$ from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Impact Number</th>
<th>Plane* of C-Measurements</th>
<th>Direct Damage</th>
<th>Field L**</th>
<th>$C_1$</th>
<th>$C_2$</th>
<th>$C_3$</th>
<th>$C_4$</th>
<th>$C_5$</th>
<th>$C_6$</th>
<th>±D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front bumper 450 780 1800 20 40 75 210 380 780 0</td>
<td>380 420 2640 420 N/A 100 80 75 60 +800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>650 mm above ground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.
Table 10. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 442882-3.

**Truck**

**Occupant Compartment Deformation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BEFORE</th>
<th>AFTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>1388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>1622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>1657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>1425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1662</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 37. Vehicle Properties for Test 442882-4.
Table 11. Exterior Crush Measurements for Test 442882-4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Damage</th>
<th>Side Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undeformed end width</td>
<td>Bowing: B1 _____ X1 _____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corner shift: A1</td>
<td>B2 _____ X2 _____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End shift at frame (CDC)</td>
<td>Bowing constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(check one)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Measure C1 to C6 from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear Impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Impact Number</th>
<th>Plane* of C-Measurements</th>
<th>Direct Damage</th>
<th>Field L**</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>±D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front bumper</td>
<td>660 120</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Front bumper</td>
<td>660 230</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>+35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>+1255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.
Table 12. Occupant Compartment Measurements for Test 442882-4.

**Small Car**

**Occupant Compartment Deformation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BEFORE</th>
<th>AFTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>1412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>1405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>1272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>1255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>1211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>1205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>1170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Figure 38. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-1
(Overhead and Frontal Views).
Figure 38. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-1
(Overhead and Frontal Views) (continued).
Figure 39. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-1 (Rear View).
Figure 40. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-2
(Overhead and Frontal Views).
Figure 40. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-2
(Overhead and Frontal Views) (continued).
Figure 41. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-2 (Rear View).
Figure 42. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-3 (Overhead and Frontal Views).
Figure 42. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-3
(Overhead and Frontal Views) (continued).
Figure 43. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-3 (Rear View).
Figure 44. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-4 (Overhead and Frontal Views).
Figure 44. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-4 (Overhead and Frontal Views) (continued).
Figure 45. Sequential Photographs for Test 442882-4 (Rear View).
Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Test Article: F411 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 2044 kg
Gross Mass: 2044 kg
Impact Speed: 98.8 km/h
Impact Angle: 24.9 degrees

Figure 46. Vehicular Angular Displacements for Test 442882-1.
Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Figure 47. Vehicular Angular Displacements for Test 442882-2.
Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Test Article: T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 2043 kg
Gross Mass: 2043 kg
Impact Speed: 97.8 km/h
Impact Angle: 24.2 degrees

Figure 48. Vehicular Angular Displacements for Test 442882-3.
**Figure 49. Vehicular Angular Displacements for Test 442882-4.**
**X Acceleration at CG**

Test Article: F411 Aesthetic Bridge Rail  
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup  
Inertial Mass: 2044 kg  
Gross Mass: 2044 kg  
Impact Speed: 98.8 km/h  
Impact Angle: 24.9 degrees

Figure 50. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1  
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 51. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 52. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 53. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1 (Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 54. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 55. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-1 (Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 56. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 57. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 58. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 59. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 60. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Test Article: F411 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Test Vehicle: 1993 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 2052 kg
Gross Mass: 2052 kg
Impact Speed: 101.1 km/h
Impact Angle: 26.1 degrees

Figure 61. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-2
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 62. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 63. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 64. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).

Z Acceleration at CG

Test Article: T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 2043 kg
Gross Mass: 2043 kg
Impact Speed: 97.8 km/h
Impact Angle: 24.2 degrees
Figure 65. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
**Y Acceleration Over Rear Axle**

Test Article: T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail  
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup  
Inertial Mass: 2043 kg  
Gross Mass: 2043 kg  
Impact Speed: 97.8 km/h  
Impact Angle: 24.2 degrees

Figure 66. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3  
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Test Article: T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail
Test Vehicle: 1997 Chevrolet 2500 Pickup
Inertial Mass: 2043 kg
Gross Mass: 2043 kg
Impact Speed: 97.8 km/h
Impact Angle: 24.2 degrees

Figure 67. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-3
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 68. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 69. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4
(Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
**Figure 70.** Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4 (Accelerometer Located at Center of Gravity).
Figure 71. Vehicle Longitudinal Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
Figure 72. Vehicle Lateral Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).
**Z Acceleration Over Rear Axle**

Test Article: T77 Aesthetic Bridge Rail  
Test Vehicle: 1997 Geo Metro  
Inertial Mass: 820 kg  
Gross Mass: 897 kg  
Impact Speed: 99.1 km/h  
Impact Angle: 20.4 degrees

Figure 73. Vehicle Vertical Accelerometer Trace for Test 442882-4  
(Accelerometer Located Over Rear Axle).